

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,517	08/18/2003		Takafumi Nakagawa	402751/PALMO	1586
23548	7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG V	OIT & N	IAYER, LTD		DONOVAN,	LINCOLN D
700 THIRT	EENTH S	Γ. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300 WASHING	TON, DC	20005-3960		2832	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/642,517	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	10/012,011	NAKAGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lincoln Donovan	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNE 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under the	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-22</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,8-10,12,18 and 21</u>		sideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		0.00.00.00.00	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,11,13-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rej	ected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 22</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-	(-/-
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 21 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: applicant's newly presented connection structure was not previously presented.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 21 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant should clarify the structure of the first magnetic circuit not including the permanent magnet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4, 7, 11, 13-14, 15-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lequesne [US 4,829,947] in view of McKean et al. [US 6,009,615].

Regarding claims 1, 7, 14, 17 and 19-20, Lequesne discloses a bistable magnetic actuator [figure 1] comprising:

- a first yoke [17];
- a second yoke [18] affixed to the first yoke;
- at least one permanent magnet [20] positioned within the yoke structure;
- an armature [15] located inside the first yoke and movable in reciprocating motion over a stroke between a first position and a second positions along a first direction; and
- a plurality of coils [32, 33] wherein a flux generated by the at least one of the coils passes through a first magnetic circuit including the armature and the first yoke to move the armature toward one of the first and second positions and a flux generated by the at least one permanent magnet passes through a second magnetic circuit including the at least one permanent magnet, the first and second yokes and the armature to hold the armature in one of the first and second positions [figure 1].

Lequesne discloses everything claimed except the yokes being formed of laminations.

McKean et al. discloses a bistable actuator [10] having a yoke and armature structure [12, 40] formed of laminations [figure 1].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a laminated yoke for the yoke structure of Lequesne, as suggested by McKean et al., in order to optimize flux.

Regarding claim 3, Lequesne discloses the at least one permanent magnet located between the first and second yokes at an end surface of the second yoke facing the armature.

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 13 Lequesne disclose everything claimed except the specific dimensions of the air gaps, magnetomotive force of the coils and size of the armature.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the specific dimensions of the air gaps, magnetomotive force of the coils and size of the armature, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claims 15-16, Guery et al. further disclose the laminated armature having inner yokes, or end plates [31, 32], positioned inside of the end surfaces of the armature laminations [figure 1].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-4, 6, 7, 11, 13-17, 19-20 and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd